

**Graduate Student Academic Honesty Policy - Comments from Kamran Riaz**  
**(Associate Dean of Students):**

**RECEIVED** AUG 23 2009  
*CA*

**Definitions:**

- The policy (Article 7) uses class days, schools day and calendar days. There is no definition given for school days or calendar days.

**Article 1.11.08:**

- This article addresses students auditing classes. What if the class being audited is an undergraduate class?
- It was my understanding that this is the Academic Honesty Policy for Graduate and Professional Students but includes PB students. These students are also mentioned in the Undergraduate Academic Honesty policy (at this time the University Academic Honesty Policy).

**Article 2.01 f:**

- Article 2.01 f: I am not sure why we have to give example of plagiarism to graduate and professional studies students but not to undergraduates. Some students may not know what "crib notes" are (3.0 b mentions them)

**Article 3.02 n**

- Isn't this same as 3.02d (plagiarism)?

**Article 5**

- Typo. It states "Article S" instead of Article 5
- Article 5.01: The heading of Article 5 is Departmental Hearing and after that 5:01 states "Prior to a Departmental Hearing". Also an informal agreement between a professor and a student with no record anywhere can lead to some issues.

**Article 5.02**

- There are two 5.02 in this policy.

**Article 8.05**

I hope someone has figured how student's suspension or probation will automatically be removed.

**Resolutions and or Appeals:** I may be the only one but I think there are too many steps for resolution and/or appeals in this policy:

- a) An informal resolution at the professor's level (new step)
- b) Departmental Hearing
- c) College Hearing
- d) University Level Appeal to the Dean of Graduate and Professional Studies (new step)
- e) Another University Level Appeal to the Provost