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Enhancing sodium-ion battery performance with interlayer-expanded MoS₂-PEO nanocomposites
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Abstract
The larger ionic radius of Na ion (1.06 Å) compared with that of Li ion (0.76 Å) is a fundamental reason for the inferior diffusion kinetics of Na ion in intercalation hosts. Here we report interlayer expansion of intercalation hosts as a general strategy to facilitate the solid-state diffusion of Na ions. Based on this strategy, poly(ethylene oxide)-intercalated MoS₂ composites (PEO-MoS₂) were synthesized via a facile exfoliation-restacking method and tested as anode materials for Na-ion batteries (NIBs). The interlayer spacing of MoS₂ was increased from 0.615 nm to 1.45 nm by insertion of controlled amounts of PEO. The bilayer PEO-intercalated MoS₂ composite (PEO₂L-MoS₂) exhibits a specific capacity of 225 mA h g⁻¹ under a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹, twice as high as that of commercial MoS₂ (com-MoS₂), and shows improved rate performance due to enhanced Na-ion diffusivity. The improvement in the electrochemical performance demonstrates that interlayer expansion is an effective strategy for the development of high performance electrode materials for battery technologies based on the storage of large ions.

Introduction
Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) with their high energy density have been widely applied in electronic devices and electric vehicles [1,2], but the use of lithium is plagued by high cost and limited resource [3]. Sodium, the low-cost and resource
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abundant sibling of lithium, shares similar intercalation chemistry with lithium in various intercalation hosts and is expected to enable Na-ion batteries (NIBs) as a more robust version of LIBs [4-7]. One major difference of NIBs from LIBs is the larger ionic radius of Na ion (1.06 Å) than that of Li ion (0.76 Å), which may weaken the advantages of NIBs in terms of the lower volumetric energy density, inferior cycling stability, and even higher ion diffusion barrier in the electrode materials [8-10].

Various intercalation hosts well-established for LIBs were examined as electrode materials for NIBs including layered sulfides MS₂ (M=transition metals) [11-13], layered oxides AₓMOₓ (A=Li, Na) [14,15], V₂O₅ [16], olivine AₓFePO₄ (A=Li, Na) [17], conversion (NiCo₂O₄, Sb₂O₃, and Co₂O₃) [18-20] and alloying (Sb, Sn and SnO₂) [21-24] reactions based materials [25]. It was found that most of these materials tended to suffer from lower capacity, inferior stability, large volume change and undergo more intermediate phases compared to their LIB counterparts. Therefore, it still remains promising for developing Na intercalation materials if the issue of steric hindrance is addressed.

The inferior kinetics and stability of Na ion storage materials are resulted from the unfavorable compatibility between large intercalating ion and sterically hindered lattice space in host materials. We envision that an increase in lattice spacing of host materials will provide a solution for large ion storage. Indeed, improved diffusion kinetics was predicted for Li in interlayer-expanded MoS₂ [26]. Our hypothesis is further supported by some recent studies that the intercalation capacity for Na storage was increased largely in V₂O₅ xerogel [27] and expanded graphite [28], both of which have enlarged interlayer spacing, and our recent study that the Mg storage kinetics and stability in MoS₂ were improved significantly with progressively expanded interlayer spacing [29]. A very recent study showed that graphene-like MoS₂ nanoflowers with expanded interlayers (d=0.67 nm compared to the original 0.62 nm) increased Na storage capacity compared with bulk MoS₂ (d=0.62 nm). Although the performance enhancement contributed by reduced particle size and crystallinity was not clearly distinguished from that originated from increased interlayer spacing [30]. We aim to establish a direct relationship between the Na storage behavior and the interlayer spacing of the host without the contributions from other parameters.

Herein we report interlayer expansion as a general material design strategy for layered hosts to tackle the kinetic challenges related to large intercalating cations (e.g. Na ion). MoS₂ is chosen as the model host for its proven versatile structure for ion intercalation [29,31-34]. Importantly, the interlayer distance of MoS₂ can be tuned in a wide range by inserting a controlled amount of guest species to form intercalation compounds [35,36]. This allows a systematic study of the influence of interlayer distance on electrode performance. In this study, poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) is chosen as the intercalating agent because of its cation-conducting property [37,38]. In addition, PEO-MoS₂ has well-known tunability of the interlayer distance in intercalation composites resulting in lattice expansions up to 160% [39]. We have synthesized PEO-MoS₂ nanocomposites via a facile exfoliation-restacking method. By optimizing the amount of PEO during the synthesis, PEO₃.5-MoS₂ and PEO₄-MoS₂ containing one and two layers of PEO between two slabs of MoS₂ were achieved, respectively. To make a systematic comparison, commercial MoS₂ (com-MoS₂), restacked MoS₂ (re-MoS₂) without PEO, and the two PEO-MoS₂ nanocomposites were all tested as NIB anode electrodes. The three composites shared similar morphologies and crystallinity, hence allowing for an unambiguous correlation of interlayer spacing to the capacity of Na storage, cycling stability and ion diffusivity. We found the enlargement of the interlayer spacing increased the diffusivity of Na ion by up to two orders of magnitude, resulting in more than doubled capacity (225 vs 113 mA h g⁻¹) as well as improved rate capability and cycling stability.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of re-MoS₂ and PEO-MoS₂ nanocomposites**

Commercially available MoS₂ (2 g) was put into n-butyl-lithium (2.5 M, 12.5 mL) in Schlenk flask inside an Ar-filled glovebox and stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was filtrated, washed with hexane for three times, and dried under vacuum at room temperature to form lithiated MoS₂ (LiMoS₂). LiMoS₂ (2 g) was poured into deionized water (200 mL) and the mixture was sonicated for 1 h and stirred for another 3 h to form a colloidal. PEO-MoS₂ composites were obtained by mixing 0.07 and 0.27 g of PEO (Aldrich, Mₙ=100,000 Da) in H₂O (100 mL) with the MoS₂ colloid (100 mL) to afford PEO₃.5-MoS₂ and PEO₄-MoS₂, respectively. The re-MoS₂ and PEO-MoS₂ composites were obtained by centrifugation, washing with deionized water for three times, and freeze-drying.

**Characterizations**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected with Gemini LEO 1525 microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy images (TEM) were recorded using a JEOL 2100 F. Weight percentage of PEO in PEO-MoS₂ composites was calculated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a thermogravimetric analyzer of TA instruments Q500. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured by a Physical Electronics Model 5700 XPS instrument. The electrochemical properties were tested by assembling CR2032 coin cells in an Ar-filled glove box with sodium metal as the anode and 1 M sodium trifluoromethanesulfonate (NaCF₃SO₃) in diethylene-glycol dimethyl ether (DEGDME) (Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous) as electrolyte. The working electrodes consisted of 70 wt% of active material, 20 wt% of Super-P carbon, and 10 wt% of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). The above materials were mixed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidione, and the resulted slurry was spread on stainless steel foil and dried at 75 °C in vacuum for 10 h. Typical loading of the active materials is about 1.0 mg cm⁻². Galvanostatic tests were conducted on a battery testing system (LAND CT2001A). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) were measured on an electrochemical workstation (EC-Lab VMP3). Specific capacity was calculated based on the weight of MoS₂.
Results and discussion

As illustrated in Fig. 1, re-MoS2 and PEO-MoS2 composites were synthesized based on a facile restacking strategy, which has been described in literature [39]. LiMoS2 was first synthesized from com-MoS2 by lithiation with n-butylithium. When poured into deionized water, LiMoS2 was oxidized by H2O, leading to exfoliation of the bulk LiMoS2 into single MoS2 layers. Direct washing and drying formed re-MoS2. By adding the single-layered MoS2 suspension to a PEO solution, PEO molecules will adsorb on the surface of MoS2 layers. After washing and drying, composites containing different amount of PEO in-between MoS2 layers were obtained. The reaction equations are as follows:

\[
\text{Li}_x\text{MoS}_2 + y\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Li}_{1-x-y}\text{MoS}_2 + y\text{LiOH} + y/2\text{H}_2
\]

(1)

\[
\text{Li}_{1-x-y}\text{MoS}_2 + z\text{PEO} \rightarrow \text{Li}_{1-x-y}\text{MoS}_2(\text{PEO})_z
\]

(2)

To compare the crystal structure of com-MoS2, re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2, XRD analyses were conducted. As shown in Fig. 2a, the diffraction peak located at 14.4° for com-MoS2 is implicative of the interlayer distance of MoS2 along the c-axis, which is 0.615 nm. After the exfoliation-restack process, the corresponding peak for re-MoS2 becomes broadened and weakened in intensity, suggesting a less ordered structure of re-MoS2. The peak position also slightly shifts to a lower angle of 13.97°, corresponding to a larger interlayer distance of 0.633 nm. With a small amount of PEO intercalated, the distance is increased to 1.19 nm, which is further enlarged to 1.45 nm with the insertion of more PEO. The distances of 1.19 and 1.45 nm respectively correspond to mono- and bilayer PEO intercalation according to the literature [39,40]. PEO2L-MoS2 exhibits three well-defined peaks at 6.1°, 12.2° and 18.3°, corresponding to the (0 0 1), (0 0 2) and (0 0 3) reflections, respectively. In the case of PEO1L-MoS2, due to a smaller interlayer spacing compared with PEO2L-MoS2, the (0 0 1), (0 0 2), and (0 0 3) reflection peaks shift to larger angles of 7.4°, 14.9°, and 22.7° (the latter falls out of the angle range shown in Fig. 2a). Note that several different ratios of PEO have been tried to obtain various interlayer distances, but only two distances that we have reported in this work could be obtained. The addition of more than 0.27 g of PEO cannot generate an interlayer distance larger than the 1.45 nm in PEO1L-MoS2, and a mass between 0.07 and 0.27 g cannot generate an interlayer spacing between 1.19 and 1.45 nm either, which are consistent with literature results [40]. This may be due to the fact that PEO only exists between MoS2 layers as mono- or bi-layer fillers, leaving the excess amount of PEO washed away by water.

TGA analysis of re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 are shown in Fig. 2b. The weight loss profile for re-MoS2 is characterized by a gradual loss between room temperature and 100 °C, followed by a steep loss until 350 °C, corresponding to the loss of 0.21 mol of adsorption water and 1.48 mol of structural water per mole of MoS2, respectively. PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 respectively show 9.3 wt% and 21.0 wt% of weight loss due to the decomposition of PEO. The XPS data in Fig. 2d reveals the phase composition of the four samples. For com-MoS2, the peaks at 232.3, 229.1, 163.1 and 161.9 eV correspond to Mo 3d3/2, Mo 3d5/2, S 2p1/2 and S 2p3/2 components of 2H MoS2, where Mo is coordinated to six S atoms in a trigonal prismatic geometry (Fig. 2c). For re-MoS2, additional Mo 3d peaks at 228.5 eV and 231.65 eV appear, and S 2p spectra also shows additional 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 peaks. All new peaks from Mo 3d and S 2p spectra can be attributed to 1T MoS2, where Mo is octahedrally coordinated to 5 S atoms (Fig. 2c) [41]. Therefore, re-MoS2 contains both 2H and 1T phases. Similarly, for PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2, new peaks for 1T MoS2 are observed, indicating that PEO intercalation does not alter the phase composition of the restacked MoS2.

Fig. 3a-d show the SEM images of com-MoS2, re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2. There is significant difference between com-MoS2 and three synthesized composites. While com-MoS2 is composed of particles with the diameter of a few micrometers, re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 exhibit two-dimensional laminar structures with more than 20 μm in size. Fig. 3e-h shows the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the four samples where the interlayer

---

**Fig. 1** Schematic diagram of synthesis of restacked MoS2 (re-MoS2) and PEO-MoS2 via exfoliation-restacking process.
distance of MoS₂ along the c-axis can be clearly observed. The pristine com-MoS₂ has the most ordered layered structure with the smallest interlayer spacing. The layered structure of re-MoS₂ becomes less ordered and it has slightly increased interlayer distance compared with com-MoS₂, agreeing with the broadened and slightly shifted diffraction peak in XRD spectrum. Both PEO₁L–MoS₂ and PEO₂L–MoS₂ show less ordered structures and much enlarged interlayer distance (1.1 nm for PEO₁L–MoS₂ and 1.4 nm for PEO₂L–MoS₂), which are in accordance to those calculated from XRD spectra. Note that the backbone of PEO can be observed in the TEM image for PEO₁L–MoS₂ (Fig. 3g, highlighted with yellow arrows), which is a direct evidence of the presence of PEO between MoS₂ layers. The similar morphology, size, and crystallinity for re-MoS₂, PEO₁L–MoS₂ and PEO₂L–MoS₂ make the interlayer distance the unique variable in contribution to the difference in electrochemical performance.

Fig. 4 displays the CV curves at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ and the charge–discharge curves at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ for the four samples for the first, second, and fifth cycles. Because conversion reaction of MoS₂ starts at 0.4 V vs Na/Na⁺ and leads to poor cycling stability [12,30]. The lower cut-off voltage was thus set to 0.4 V. The first-cycle CV curve of com-MoS₂ shows two major sharp reduction peaks at 0.91 and 0.65 V and three oxidation peaks at 1.30, 1.52 and 2.34 V (Fig. 4a), which agrees with the two well-defined plateaus at 0.92 and 0.68 V and the three plateaus at about 1.30, 1.50, and 2.30 V in the charge curve in Fig. S1a. It is known that during lithium-ion intercalation, MoS₂ undergoes a trigonal prismatic (2H)-octahedral (1T) phase transition and displays a well-defined discharge plateau in the first cycle, which fades in subsequent cycles [42,43]. The several CV peaks and plateaus indicate that Na ion storage in MoS₂ shows similar phase transitions but in multiple steps. These stepwise phase transitions are likely due to a staging process, which describes the scenario that certain interlayer regions of MoS₂ are filled with Na ions whereas others are completely vacant [44]. This staging process can help minimize the energy for opening up the vdW gap between layers and is common for layered transition metal sulfides for storage of large ions [44,45]. For example, previous studies on alkaline ion intercalation into TiS₂ show that no staging phenomenon was observed for Li.
intercalation, while staging was observed for intercalation of larger cations such as Na and K ions \[45,46\]. The two reduction peaks at 0.95–0.85 and 0.75–0.6 V in the first CV cycle correspond to the phase transitions from pristine MoS\(_2\) to the second-stage compound and then the second- to the first-stage compound, respectively, while the oxidation peaks correspond to the reverse process. These peaks in CV and plateaus in charge-discharge curves become less...
obvious and even disappear in the subsequent cycles. This phenomenon is characteristic of irreversible phase transitions as reported in the literature [12]. For re-MoS2, two cathodic peaks at 0.94 and 0.66 V were observed without well-defined anodic peaks (Fig. 4b). The presence of cathodic peaks indicate that even though the vdW gap between MoS2 layers has been opened up during the synthesis of re-MoS2, the restacked layers still require energy to reopen the vdW gap and result in staging during Na intercalation, albeit less evident than that for com-MoS2. PEO1L-MoS2 shows even less well-defined peaks in CV (Fig. 4c) compared with re-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2, for the first cycle, exhibits no well-defined peak at all (Fig. 4d). These behaviors indicate that the insertion of PEO can effectively mitigate the interlayer interaction of MoS2, thus resulting in minimum energy to open the vdW gap and hence little reliance on a staging process. On the other hand, slow decay is observed for PEO2L-MoS2 where the amount of PEO is small (44% of that for PEO2L-MoS2). We also note that the use of the much less viscous tetrahydrofuran (0.55 cP) as the solvent for the electrolyte also eliminates the activation process for PEO2L-MoS2 (Fig. S2).

When tested at a higher current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹, PEO2L-MoS2 exhibited Coulombic efficiency of more than 99% after activation and specific capacity of 140 mA h g⁻¹ with slow decay (Fig. S3). The rate performance of the four samples is shown in Fig. 5c. The specific capacities of PEO2L-MoS2 were 185 (after activation), 162, 143, 127 and 112 mA h g⁻¹ under current densities of 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1 A g⁻¹, respectively, which are higher than those of other three samples. At 1 A g⁻¹, the capacity for PEO2L-MoS2 is three times as high as that of com-MoS2. The enhanced rate performance indicates that expanded interlayer distance can result in faster electrode kinetics.

To investigate the effect of interlayer distance on the diffusivity of Na ion in MoS2, GITT was employed to probe the diffusivity of Na ion [47,48]. Fig. 6a shows the GITT curve of PEO2L-MoS2 after activation. During the GITT measurement, the cell was discharged or charged at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ for 10 min and then rested for 30 min to reach the steady-state voltage (Eᵢ) (Fig. 6b). The Na ion diffusivity D_GITT can be obtained via the formula [47],

\[
D_{GITT} = \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{m_B V_M}{M_B S} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta E_i} \right)^2
\]

where τ denotes the constant current pulse time, m_B, M_B and V_M are the mass, molar weight and molar volume of PEO2L-MoS2, respectively, S is the area of the electrode-electrolyte interface, ΔE is the change of Eᵢ obtained by subtracting the original voltage (Eᵢ) from the steady-state voltage (Eᵢ), and ΔEᵢ is the total change of cell voltage during a constant current pulse τ excluding the IR-drop. Fig. 6c shows the plot of Na ion diffusivity as a function of Na ion concentration (x) in Na₃MoS₂ of all four samples during discharge process. At low Na concentration

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(0.4) & = 0.01 \\
\rho(0.8) & = 0.02 \\
\rho(1.2) & = 0.03 \\
\rho(1.6) & = 0.04 \\
\rho(2.0) & = 0.05 \\
\rho(2.4) & = 0.06 \\
\rho(2.8) & = 0.07 \\
\rho(3.2) & = 0.08 \\
\rho(3.6) & = 0.09 \\
\rho(4.0) & = 0.10 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(4.4) & = 0.11 \\
\rho(4.8) & = 0.12 \\
\rho(5.2) & = 0.13 \\
\rho(5.6) & = 0.14 \\
\rho(6.0) & = 0.15 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Conclusions

In summary, PEO-MoS2 nanocomposites have been synthesized via a facile exfoliation-restacking method to tune the interlayer spacing of MoS2. They serve as a model compound to demonstrate our interlayer expansion strategy to address the challenges of large-ion intercalation chemistry. Our results show that with the interlayer distance increases, the capacity of MoS2 increases accordingly. In particular, PEO2L-MoS2 with a 160% increase in interlayer distance exhibits a specific capacity of 210 mA\ h g\(^{-1}\) under a current density of 50 mA\ g\(^{-1}\), more than twice as high as that of com-MoS2, as well as improved rate performance and cycling stability. The increased Na-ion diffusivity in interlayer-expanded MoS2 is found to be responsible for the improvement in electrode performance. Such interlayer-expanded MoS2 nanocomposites are promising for the use as anode materials for NIBs. Our interlayer expansion strategy can also be extended to other host materials and pave the way for exploring high performance electrode materials for the storage of large ions.
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