COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON GRADUATE COLLEGE OF SOCIAL WORK MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

4.0.3: The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

Assessment Measu	Assessment Measure #1: Practicum Assessment					
Dimension(s) assessed: Behaviors						
When/where students are	At the end of each practicum course					
assessed:	·					
Who assessed student	Practicum instructor					
competence:						
Outcome Measure Benchmark	Rating of 3 on 5-pt scale					
(minimum score indicative of						
achievement) for Competencies 1-						
9:						
Competency Benchmark (percent of	80%					
students the program expects to						
have achieved the minimum scores,						
inclusive of all measures) for						
Competencies 1-9:						
	re #2: Curriculum Assessment					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, skills					
When/where students are	At the completion of required generalist					
assessed:	coursework					
assessed: Who assessed student	coursework Completed by students					
assessed: Who assessed student competence:	Completed by students					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark						
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of	Completed by students					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-	Completed by students					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1- 9:	Completed by students 3 out of 5 questions					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9: Competency Benchmark (percent of	Completed by students					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9: Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to	Completed by students 3 out of 5 questions					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9: Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,	Completed by students 3 out of 5 questions					
assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9: Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to	Completed by students 3 out of 5 questions					

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

Area of Specialized Practice #1: CLINICAL

Assessment Measure #1: Practicum Assessment							
Dimension(s) assessed:	Behaviors						
When/where students are assessed:	At the end of each						
	practicum course						
Who assessed student competence:	Practicum instructor						
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	Rating of 3 on 5-pt scale						
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:							
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%						
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,							
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:							
Assessment Measure #2: Curriculum /	Assessment						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, skills						
When/where students are assessed:	At the completion of						
	required Clinical						
	specialized coursework						
Who assessed student competence:	Completed by students						
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	3 out of 5 questions						
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:							
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%						
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,							
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:							

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

Area of Specialized Practice #2: MACRO

Assessment Measure #1: Practicum Assessment							
Dimension(s) assessed:	Behaviors						
When/where students are assessed:	At the end of each						
	practicum course						
Who assessed student competence:	Practicum instructor						
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	Aggregate rating of 3 on						
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	5-pt scale for all behaviors						
	related to the competency						
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%						
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,							
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:							
Assessment Measure #2: Curriculum A	ssessment						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, skills						
When/where students are assessed:	At the completion of						
	required Macro						
	specialized coursework						
Who assessed student competence:	Completed by students						
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score	3 out of 5 questions						
indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:							
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the	80%						
program expects to have achieved the minimum scores,							
inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:							

Directions for completing Form AS 4

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate the percentage of students achieving each outcome measure benchmark, then calculate the percentage of students achieving each competency inclusive of two or more measures for each program option. Programs with multiple program options must present data for each program option, and in aggregate inclusive of all program options per competency. Programs may add/delete columns and to accurately reflect the number of program options offered. This is a required form. The assessment data table may be altered to accurately reflect the number of program options offered and additional program-developed competencies. However, beyond these formatting alternations, the program may not alter the content of this form.

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2022-2023) Program Option #1: Face to Face

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK			
			Generalist Practice	Generalist Practice Area of Specialized Area of Specialize Practice #1 (Clinical) Practice #2 (Macro		
			Competency Assessment: n = 16 Practicum Assessment:	Competency Assessment: n = 15 Practicum Assessment:	Competency Assessment: n = 6 Practicum Assessment:	
			n = 70	n =85	n =23	
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	97.7%	100%	100%	
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	98.8%	100%	96.6%	
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social,	80%	80%	100%	100%	96.6%	

Economic, and Environmental Justice					
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	98.8%	97.0%	100%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	100%	100.0%	100%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	100%	100.0%	100%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.8%	100%	96.6%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.8%	100.0%	100%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.8%	100%	93.1%

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2022-2023) Program Option #2: Hybrid

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
		,	Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice #1 (Clinical)	Area of Specialized Practice #2 (Macro)
			Competency Assessment: n = 11 Practicum Assessment: n = 28	Competency Assessment: n = 12 Practicum Assessment: n = 33	*Merged with Online program results*1
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A

¹ Note: Hybrid students enrolled in the Macro Area of Specialized Practice take all of their Macro courses in an Online format, together with students in our Online enrollment model. Therefore all results are grouped together under Program Option #3 (Online).

Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	100%	95.6%	N/A
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	100%	100%	N/A
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	100%	97.8%	N/A

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2022-2023) Program Option #3: Online

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
		,	Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice #1 (Clinical)	Area of Specialized Practice #2 (Macro) ²
			Competency Assessment: n = 11 Practicum Assessment: n = 45	Competency Assessment: n =15 Practicum Assessment: n = 43	Competency Assessment: n = 10 Practicum Assessment: n = 18
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	100%	100%	92.9%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	100%	100%	92.9%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	100%	98.3%	96.4%
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	96.4%	98.3%	96.4%

_

² Our first Online Macro cohort graduated in 2021; this was the first time Macro Area of Specialized Practice courses were offered in our Online Program Option.

Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	98.2%	100%	96.4%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.2%	96.6%	96.4%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	96.4%	96.6%	92.9%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	96.4%	100%	96.4%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	94.6%	98.3%	85.7%

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (Aggregate Findings for All Students from All Program Options Combined)

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2022-2023)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (GENERALIST)	(AREA OF	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
			Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice #1 (Clinical)	Area of Specialized Practice #2 (Macro)
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	98.9%	100%	96.5%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	99.4%	100%	94.7%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	100%	99.6%	96.5%
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	98.3%	98.1%	98.2%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	99.4%	100%	98.2%

Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	99.4%	99.2%	98.2%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.3%	98.0%	94.7%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	98.3%	100%	98.2%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	97.8%	99.0%	89.5%