



University of Houston

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77004

Faculty Senate
713/749-2817

March 22, 1985

To: UHS Board of Regents

From: Stephen K. Huber
Chair, Faculty Senate

S.K.H.

Subject: UHUP Faculty Senate Resolution

The purpose of this memorandum is to bring to your attention two resolutions that were adopted by the UHUP Faculty Senate at its March 20 meeting. One deals with the use of Proposition 2 funds, and is set out on a separate page. The other relates to a proposal concerning undergraduate students by Dean Thomas Mayor, and the action of the Deans' Council thereon, copies of which are enclosed. The Faculty Senate unanimously approved a resolution to "endorse the sentiment of Dean Mayor's memorandum and support the resolution adopted by the Deans' Council."

I have also taken the liberty of enclosing an article that I published in the Journal of Legal Education which analyzes the composition of the student body at the law school.

cc: President Bishop
Chancellor Van Horn



University of Houston

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77004

Faculty Senate
713/749-2817

TO: Interested Parties

FROM: Stephen K. Huber
Chair, Faculty Senate

DATE: March 21, 1985

SUBJECT: Proposition 2 Priorities - University Park Issues

S. K. H. (MKR)

Set out below is the text of a resolution adopted unanimously by the UH-UP Faculty Senate at its March 20 meeting.

Whereas Proposition 2, approved by the voters of Texas in Fall 1984, was understood by the higher education community to provide a continuing source of funds to supplement regular formula-generated General Fund appropriations and provide an additional margin of excellence in Texas higher education, and

Whereas some state officials are proposing to relieve current State fiscal problems by using some Proposition 2 funds to substitute for (rather than add to) regular formula-generated General Fund appropriations,

Now be it resolved that the Faculty Senate, University of Houston-University Park

(1) Urges all those involved in the appropriations process to use Proposition 2 funds as additions to rather than substitutions for formula-generated funding;

(2) Urges administrators to maintain academically related funding (e.g., libraries) as the top priority for allocations should it become absolutely essential to use Proposition 2 funds to replace General Fund appropriations; and

(3) Requests campus and system administrators to continue sharing information and working with appropriate faculty committees in establishing priorities for the most educationally-effective utilization of those Proposition 2 funds which become available to the UH System and the UH-UP Campus.